

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/668,999	09/24/2003	Wei-Chun Yang	2846-0270P	1820	
2292	7590 12/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			HOANG, TU BA		
	л ЛСН, VA 22040-0747	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3742		
			DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Nation of Abandonmant	10/668,999	YANG ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tu Ba Hoang	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress		
This application is abandoned in view of:					
. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office  (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	nendment which pla	aces the		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).	eriod for payment of the issue fee (an	ite of Mailing or 17 id publication fee) s	et in the Notice of		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the No	itice of		
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated	), which is		
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
.   The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire i	nterest, or all of		
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ui	nder 37 CFR		
of the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	king court review		
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:					
Confirmation with Joe Mckinney Muncy on	Dec. 07, 2005		/		
Confirmation with Joe Mckinney Muncy on No Reply was filed.		(14)	/		
		Tu Ba Hoang			
		Primary Examine Art Unit: 3742	er .		
			1		

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20051206